

**PATENT APPLICATION**

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re application of

Docket No: Q68836

Osamu KAMATAKI, et al.

Appln. No.: 10/090,165

Group Art Unit: 3736

Confirmation No.: 5468

Examiner: Michael C. ASTORINO

Filed: March 5, 2002

For: VITAL SIGN DISPLAY METHOD, VITAL SIGN DISPLAY MONITOR, AND  
SYSTEM THEREOF

**STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW**

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Please review and enter the following remarks summarizing the interviews conducted on  
August 2 and 14, 2007:

**REMARKS**

During the interview, the following was discussed:

1. Brief description of exhibits or demonstration: None.
2. Identification of claims discussed: 2
3. Identification of art discussed: Bufo
4. Identification of principal proposed amendments: Clarifying the prioritization of  
alarm conditions.
5. Brief Identification of principal arguments: Claims are definite if amended to  
clarify prioritization and Bufo fails to disclose such a prioritization.
6. Indication of other pertinent matters discussed: None.

7. Results of Interview: Proposed changes to claims appear to overcome at least § 112 rejections.

It is respectfully submitted that the instant STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW complies with the requirements of 37 C.F.R. §§1.2 and 1.133 and MPEP §713.04.

**It is believed that no petition or fee is required.** However, if the USPTO deems otherwise, Applicant hereby petitions for any extension of time which may be required to maintain the pendency of this case, and any required fee, except for the Issue Fee, for such extension is to be charged to Deposit Account No. 19-4880.

Respectfully submitted,

/Ellen R. Smith/

SUGHRUE MION, PLLC  
Telephone: (202) 293-7060  
Facsimile: (202) 293-7860

WASHINGTON DC SUGHRUE/265550

**65565**

CUSTOMER NUMBER

Ellen R. Smith  
Registration No. 43,042

Date: September 24, 2007